

## CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION

RFP / TITLE: 625-10870 City Hall Wind Retrofit Project DATE: 2/7/12

<u>VENDORS</u>	FINAL <u>RANKING</u>	AVERAGE RANKING	TOTAL COST		
RC Aluminum Industries	1	1.52	\$	1,972,500.00	
West Construction	2	2.46	\$	2,549,265.00	
Hurricane Protection Industries	3	2.80	\$	2,665,000.00	NON-RESPONSIVE - NO BID BOND
Thornton Construction	4	3.26	\$	2,897,778.00	

PROPOSER:	RC	ALMUMINUM IND	USTRIES					
	WEIGHTED		C	OMMITTEE MEMBE	:R		Total all	Average
EVALUATION CRITERIA	FACTOR	BELLISSIMO	SNEDAKER	SUNDERMEIER	PRIZLEE	FRIEDMAN	Ranking	Ranking
Proposed product's capability to provide the required functionality	20	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.6	2.2	0.44
Qualifications and experience of Project Team	20	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	1.4	0.28
Project Plan and Schedule	20	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	1.6	0.32
Proposed technical support and maintenance services	10	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.9	0.18
Cost to the City	30	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.30
TOTAL POINTS		1.9	1	1.7	1.2	1.8	7.6	1.52

PROPOSER:	,	WEST CONSTRUC	CTION					
	WEIGHTED	COMMITTEE MEMBER				Total all	Average	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	FACTOR	BELLISSIMO	SNEDAKER	SUNDERMEIER	PRIZLEE	FRIEDMAN	Ranking	Ranking
Proposed product's capability to provide the required functionality	20	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.4	2.2	0.44
Qualifications and experience of Project Team	20	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.6	3	0.60
Project Plan and Schedule	20	0.2	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.6	2.6	0.52
Proposed technical support and maintenance services	10	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.5	0.30
Cost to the City	30	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	3	0.60
TOTAL POINTS		2.1	2.5	3.3	2	2.4	12.3	2.46

posted 2/15/12

Page 2

PROPOSER:	HURRICA	ANE PROTECTION	INDUSTRIES					
	WEIGHTED		COMMITTEE MEMBER					Average
EVALUATION CRITERIA	FACTOR	BELLISSIMO	SNEDAKER	SUNDERMEIER	PRIZLEE	FRIEDMAN	Ranking	Ranking
Proposed product's capability to provide the required functionality	20	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.8	2.4	0.48
Qualifications and experience of Project Team	20	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.8	2.8	0.56
Project Plan and Schedule	20	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.8	3	0.60
Proposed technical support and maintenance services	10	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4	1.3	0.26
Cost to the City	30	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	4.5	0.90
TOTAL POINTS		2	3.5	1.8	3	3.7	14	2.80

PROPOSER:	TH	ORNTON CONSTR	RUCTION					
	WEIGHTED		COMMITTEE MEMBER					Average
EVALUATION CRITERIA	FACTOR	BELLISSIMO	SNEDAKER	SUNDERMEIER	PRIZLEE	FRIEDMAN	Ranking	Ranking
Proposed product's capability to provide the required functionality	20	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.2	3.2	0.64
Qualifications and experience of Project Team	20	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.2	2.8	0.56
Project Plan and Schedule	20	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.2	2.8	0.56
Proposed technical support and maintenance services	10	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	1.5	0.30
Cost to the City	30	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	6	1.20
TOTAL POINTS		4	3	3.2	4	2.1	16.3	3.26

posted 2/15/12